	Case 2:24-cv-02264-TLN-CSK Documen	t 49 Filed 04/04/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID AVILA, et al.,	No. 2:24-cv-02264-T	LN-CSK
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	V.	ORDER	
14	NEWREZ, LLC D/B/A SHELLPOINT MORTGAGE SERVICING, et al.,		
15			
16	Defendants.		
17	Plaintiffs David Avila and John Hayne (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed the above-entitled		
18	action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §		
19	636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On December 9, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
21	were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and		
22	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 36.) On December 23,		
23	2024, Plaintiffs filed objections to the findings and recommendations, which have been		
24	considered by the Court. (ECF No. 37.)		
25	This Court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an		
26	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore		
27	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d		
28	930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection		
		1	

	Case 2:24-cv-02264-TLN-CSK Document 49 Filed 04/04/25 Page 2 of 2			
1	has been made, the Court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law.			
2	See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's			
3	conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d			
4	452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).			
5	The Court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,			
6	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,			
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
8	1. The Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 36) are ADOPTED IN FULL;			
9	2. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 17) is GRANTED;			
10	3. Plaintiffs' Complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED without leave to amend; and			
11	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.			
12				
13	Date: April 4, 2025			
14	$A \cap AUU$			
15	TROY L. NUNLEY CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				